RETAIL ENERGY CODE

This document is classified as **WHITE**.
Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

CHANGE PROPOSAL EXTENSION TO PLAN

I0196 – REVIEW OF METERING ASSET DATA TRANSFERRED FROM MAMS TO THE CDSP

DOCUMENT VERSION	V2.0
PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION DATE	TBD
IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	TBD

RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE	Metering Expert Panel.	**CHANGE PATH**	Self-Governance
PRIORITY STATUS	Medium	**URGENCY**	Standard
IMPACTED STAKEHOLDERS	MAMs, Gas Suppliers, CDSP		

LEAD ANALYST (SOLUTION LEAD)	TECHNICAL LEAD	ASSURANCE LEAD	SUBJECT MATTER EXPERT
FLORENTINA MONEA	ELLA MCGUIRE	KAT HIGBY	ANNE JACKSON
Click to send an email	Click to send an email	Click to send an email	Click to send an email

1 CHANGE OVERVIEW

This issue was raised by the REC Performance Assurance (RPA) function of the REC Code Manager. Following investigative work, underpinned by case studies, RPA believe there is a need to improve a number of processes to pertinent to MAMs (and Metering more broadly) that contribute to gas data quality issues. High fidelity communication of gas data such as changes in MAM appointment status, details of modifications to Metering Assets and information pertinent to Switches is essential to ensuring data confidence and successful Consumer outcomes.

The Code Manager aims to explore mechanisms by which critical information transmitted from the MAM to the Central Data Switching Provider (CDSP) via the Supplier/Shipper may be validated or enriched to ensure accuracy. This Issue is expected to have a broad scope and will function as a general review of multiple industry processes found within Schedule 14 – Metering Operations and beyond. The Code Manager aims to identify, in a data-led approach, areas in which improvements to ensure accurate gas data transmission can be applied.

Work conducted by RPA has identified a significant number of mismatches between gas Data Items held by key stakeholders. This may result in Consumer detriment, as information relevant to an MPRN may not be held accurately by any given Party. As such, there is a need to review processes pertaining to the communication of gas data by MAMs onward to the CDSP to mitigate the potential for mismatches

2 RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE DECISION

On 09 September 2026, the Metering Expert Panel approved the extension to plan for I0196.

3 CHANGE PLAN UPDATE

		Previous		Proposed	
Milestone	Activity	From	To	From	To
Definition		01 May 25	31 July 25	**01 May 25**	**[On Hold]**
	Solution Development	May 25	Jun 25	May 25	-
	Solution Refinement	Jun 25	Jul 25	Jun 25	-
	Legal Review	-	-		
	Impact Assessment	Jul 25	Jul 25		
Change Proposal		-	-	**[On Hold]**	**[On Hold]**
	Business Case Assessment				
	Consultation				
	Solution Refinement				
	Final Determination				
Voting		-	-	**[On Hold]**	**[On Hold]**
	Appeal Window				

To date, I0196 has undergone two distinct “phases” of Solution Development. The first phase, covered by the V2.0 of the Change Report, included various scoping and analysis exercises designed to understand the scale of the underlying issue and to determine the best way that the problem statement might be addressed. The second phase, which has taken place in the intervening period to date, has focussed on understanding more about how the proposed solution, namely, a new process to rectify unresolved RGMA Rejections, might be delivered.

The Code Manager conducted a series of Working Groups designed to determine the means by which the Proposed Solution might be realised. At the time of publication, the Code Manager has identified two primary mechanisms by which an improvement to RGMA Rejection handling may be delivered.

However, a dependency has now emerged that must be resolved prior to this Issue becoming a Change Proposal. Work taking in place in parallel at the Uniform Network Code (UNC) in UNC0925R may materially impact I0196. Within the scope of the UNC Modification, RGMA

Data quality is also being explored, but with a focus on Shippers, who are parties to the UNC, but not to the REC. As most Suppliers function as their own Shipper, there is a material overlap between the constituency of REC Supplier Parties and UNC Shipper Parties. As a result, it may be necessary for the REC to model their approach to RGMA Data quality to some extent on the (as of yet undetermined) approach taken by the UNC.

The Code Manager proposes that the Issue be placed on hold pending the outcome of the work being conducted in the UNC.