RETAIL ENERGY CODE

This document is classified as **WHITE**.
Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

REC ISSUE SUBMISSION FORM (COPY)

1 PERSONAL DETAILS

PROPOSER NAME *(Mandatory)*	Holly Law
COMPANY *(Optional)*	Code Manager
COMPANY TYPE *(Optional)*	Code Body/Administrator
TELEPHONE NUMBER *(Optional)*	
EMAIL ADDRESS *(Mandatory)*	Holly.law@recmanager.co.uk

2 ISSUE SUMMARY

ISSUE TITLE *(Mandatory)*	Explore use of CHECK as a Meter Type option on J0483 / DI-059
WHAT IS THE ISSUE YOU ARE SEEKING TO SOLVE? *(Mandatory)*	It has been suggested that CHECK meters should not be sent within industry messages so the presence of this value within the valid set may not be required. This Issue has been raised to explore whether this assumption is correct, look at the existing/historic use cases industry have used the CHECK option for, if they are no longer valid and if so why not.
WHAT IMPACT IS THIS HAVING? *(Mandatory)*	May cause potential unnecessary assurance and data correction while CHECK is still a valid option in the data enumeration. In MHHS the Check value is not a valid value in ISD and cannot be used to assign a Market Segment, as such it can't be populated within SMRS and will be rejected if sent on an IF-005. Where populated it will need cleansed in SMRS before an MPAN can be migrated. Having it in the combined valid set for the J0483 / DI-059 causes confusion as to what value can be set in SMRS.

WHAT DO YOU CONSIDER TO BE AN EFFECTIVE SOLUTION? *(Mandatory)*	EES data has confirmed 54 MPANs have a meter type of Check (50 energised, 4 de-energised). Of the 50 energised MPANs with a meter type of CHECK, 21 of these have both a primary meter and a check meter, whilst 29 have a check meter only. This Issue should look at the existing/historic use cases industry have used the CHECK option for, if they are no longer valid and if so why not. The 29 MPANs that are recorded as having a check meter only should be investigated to determine if this data is correct, what impact will this be having on settlement, and what are the reasons for having a check meter only installed (vacant property/ property is supplied through another property's main meter etc) This Issue should also explore and set out the what the expectation would be for Supplier's/MOA's with CHECK meters if this were to be removed from the valid set. There will also be discussion required with the BSC to establish whether there are already mechanisms in place to prevent these 50 meters registering their data in settlement, or if they are being erroneously recorded. This Issue should consider all of the above when determining what safeguards would be needed before this Issue is considered on whether to progress to a Change Proposal.
IS THERE ANY BACKGROUND INFORMATION OR CONTEXT TO THE ISSUE THAT WOULD BE USEFUL? *(Optional)*	See the MHHS Data Cleanse Closure report - https://www.mhhsprogramme.co.uk/uploads/6069a66d-fab1-421b-9247-2bbad66c4789/MHHS-DEL4254_-_MHHS_Programme_Data_Cleanse_Closure_Report_v1.0.pdf In MHHS the Check value is not a valid value in ISD and cannot be used to assign a Market Segment, as such it can't be populated within SMRS and will be rejected if sent on an IF-005. Where populated it will need cleansed in SMRS before an MPAN can be migrated. Having it in the combined valid set for the J0483 / DI-059 causes confusion as to what value can be set in SMRS. The question is does it still add value in the other Market Messages (D0150 / D0268) or should it be removed totally.

	The other option is to split the J0483 / DI-059 to have two distinct items with different enumerations.
DOES THIS ISSUE RELATE TO AN EXISTING ISSUE RAISED OR AN EXISTING CHANGE PROPOSAL? IF SO, PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF THE ISSUE OR CHANGE PROPOSAL.	n/a

3 CONSUMER IMPACTS

WHICH CONSUMER TYPES WILL BE IMPACTED BY THIS ISSUE? *(Mandatory)*	☐ All ☒ Electricity ☐ Gas ☐ Domestic Prepayment ☐ Domestic Credit ☐ Microbusiness ☐ Small and Medium Enterprise (SME) ☐ Industrial and Commercial (I&C) ☐ Other (please specify in the Additional information box below)
WHAT IMPACT WILL THIS ISSUE HAVE FOR THESE CONSUMERS? *(Mandatory)*	☒ Switching experience ☒ Billing accuracy ☒ Trust and confidence ☐ Safety ☐ Security of supply ☐ Ability to pay ☐ On site experience ☐ Achieving Net Zero ☐ Other (please provide details in the Additional information box below)
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	

DOES THIS ISSUE CONTRIBUTE TO THE ACHIEVEMENT OF NET ZERO? *(Mandatory)*	
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	

4 CODE IMPACTS

Does this Issue relate to or impact:

THE REC OR REC SCHEDULES? *(Optional)*	☐ Main Body ☐ Schedule 1 - Interpretations and Definitions Schedule ☐ Schedule 3 - Accession Agreement ☐ Schedule 4 - Company Governance ☐ Schedule 5 - Change Management ☐ Schedule 6 - Performance Assurance ☐ Schedule 7 - Energy Theft Reduction ☐ Schedule 8 - Unbilled Energy Code of Practice ☐ Schedule 9 - Qualification and Maintenance ☐ Schedule 10 - Charging Methodology ☐ Schedule 11 - Prepayment Arrangements ☐ Schedule 12 - Data Access ☐ Schedule 13 - Transfer of Consumer Data ☒Schedule 14 - Metering Operations ☐ Schedule 15 - Metering Accreditation ☐ Schedule 17 - Secure Data Exchange ☐ Schedule 18 - Green Deal Arrangements ☐ Schedule 19 - Market Exit and Supplier of Last Resort ☐ Schedule 22 - Market Stabilisation Charge ☐ Schedule 23 - Registration Services ☐ Schedule 24 - Switching Data Management ☐ Schedule 25 - Central Switching Service ☐ Schedule 26 - Switching Service Management ☐ Schedule 27 - RMP Lifecycle Schedule

	☐ Schedule 28 - Related Metering Points ☐ Schedule 29 - Address Management ☐ Schedule 30 - Resolution of Consumer Facing Switching and Billing Issues ☐ Schedule 31 – Prepayment Method Levelisation Reconciliation ☐ Schedule 32 - Electricity Supply to Hydrogen Electrolysers ☐ Schedule 33 - Non Domestic Change of Occupier ☐ Schedule 34 - Migration Schedule
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	

OPERATIONAL DOCUMENTS *(Optional)*	☐ Consolidated Metering Code of Practice (CoMCoP) ☐ Cross Code Steering Group Terms of Reference ☐ Performance Assurance Board Terms of Reference ☐ Performance Assurance Report Catalogue ☐ REC Change Panel Terms of Reference ☐ Smart Meter Installer Domestic Customer Survey ☐ Smart Meter Installer Microbusiness Customer Survey
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	

SERVICE SPECIFICATION *(Optional)*	☐ Central Data Service Provider Further Services Service Definition ☐ Central Switching Service Definition ☐ CSS Certificate Authority Service Definition ☐ Electricity Enquiry Service - Service Definition ☐ Electricity Retail Data Service Definition ☐ Gas Enquiry Service Definition ☐ Gas Retail Data Service Definition ☐ Green Deal Database Service Definition ☐ Secure Data Exchange Service Definition ☐ Switching Operator Service Definition
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	

API TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION *(Optional)*	☐ EES API Technical Specification ☐ GES Meter Asset Enquiry API Technical Specification ☐ GES Supply Point Switching API Technical Specification ☐ GES Supply Point Enquiry API Technical Specification
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	

THE DATA SPECIFICATION *(Optional)*	☐ Data Access Matrix ☒ Data Item Catalogue ☐ Market Message Catalogue ☐ Standards Definition ☐ Supply Number Format
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	

CENTRAL SYSTEMS OR REC SERVICES? *(Optional)*	☐ Central Data Service Provider Further Services ☐ Central Switching Service (CSS) ☐ CSS Certificate Authority ☐ Electricity Enquiry Service ☒ Electricity Retail Data Service ☐ Electricity Theft Tip Off Service ☐ Gas Enquiry Service ☐ Gas Retail Data Service ☐ Green Deal Central Charging Database ☐ Secure Data Exchange Service ☐ Switching Operator
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	

REC PARTIES AND/OR MARKET PARTICIPANTS? *(Optional)*	☒ AMR Service Providers ☐ Data Aggregators ☒ Data Collectors ☒ Data Services ☒ Smart Meter Data Retrievers

	☐ DCC ☒ Distribution Network Operators ☒ Energy Suppliers ☐ EES Users ☐ Gas Transporters ☐ GDCC Users ☐ GES Users ☐ Green Deal Finance Parties ☐ Green Deal Providers ☐ Meter Asset Providers ☒ Metering Equipment Managers ☐ Shippers ☐ Third Party Intermediaries ☐ Virtual Lead Party ☐ Other (please provide details in the Additional Information box)
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	

OTHER INDUSTRY CODES? *(Optional)*	☐ Balancing & Settlement Code (BSC) ☐ Distribution Code Use of System Agreement (DCUSA) ☐ Smart Energy Code (SEC) ☐ Uniform Network Code (UNC) ☐ Independent Gas Transporter Uniform Network Code (IGT UNC) ☒ Data Integration Platform (DIP) Rules
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	CHECK is currently included in the valid set of DI-059

DOES THIS ISSUE IMPACT A SIGNIFICANT CODE REVIEW? *(Optional)*	No
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	

5 ISSUE PROGRESSION

DO YOU BELIEVE THIS ISSUE PROPOSAL MEETS THE CRITERIA FOR 'URGENCY' AND SHOULD BE PROGRESSED UNDER AN URGENT TIMETABLE? **IF SO, PLEASE PROVIDE JUSTIFICATION?** *(Optional)*	No
SDS ALIGNMENT – HOW CLEARLY THE CHANGE SUPPORTS OFGEM'S STRATEGIC DIRECTION STATEMENT AND HELPS DELIVER SDS PRIORITIES/OUTCOMES. (MANDATORY)	Limited or no SDS relevance when compared to other Standard changes.
IMPORTANCE - THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE BENEFITS OR RISKS ADDRESSED (E.G., CONSUMER OUTCOMES, COMPLIANCE/LEGAL RISK, SYSTEM/OPERATIONAL IMPACTS, MARKET FAIRNESS). *(Mandatory)*	Delivers useful but broadly comparable benefits to other Standard changes.
COMPLEXITY/ DELIVERY CONSIDERATIONS - HOW CHALLENGING IT IS TO DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT (E.G., SCALE OF CHANGE, STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT REQUIRED, SERVICE/SYSTEM IMPACTS, CROSS-CODE DEPENDENCIES, DELIVERY/TESTING RISK). *(Mandatory)*	Expected low complexity as updating an existing data set
ARE THERE ANY REQUIRED TIMESCALES FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THIS ISSUE? *(Optional)*	No

ARE THERE ANY REQUIRED TIMESCALES FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS ISSUE? *(Optional)*	No
ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY DEPENDENCIES ASSOCIATED WITH THIS ISSUE WHICH MAY IMPACT ITS PRIORITY OR PROGRESSION TIMETABLE? *(Optional)*	If numbers increase the urgency may be driven by the impact on MHHS

6 ATTACHMENTS

ATTACH/PROVIDE ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS TO BE SUBMITTED WITH THE FORM	