RETAIL ENERGY CODE

This document is classified as **WHITE**.
Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

PARTY IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUEST

VERSION	0.3
DATE ISSUED	05/11/2026
RESPONSE DEADLINE	27/11/2026
PARTY RESPONSES REQUESTED	MOAs

SOLUTION LEAD	Poppy Mullins	poppy.mullins@recmanager.co.uk

OVERVIEW

This is a consequential change to a Balancing and Settlement Code Change Proposal (BSC CP1652 – Introduction of IF-045 notification from Registration Service for meter type misalignment. This BSC CP was raised to introduce a new scenario for the use of the existing Market Message, the IF-045 Supplier Meter Registration Service (SMRS) Reminder Notification Market Message (MM20002/IF-045), to identify and manage metering misalignments between associated Import and Export MPANs. As the proposed process would introduce new Metering Operator Agents (MOAs) requirements, a consequential impact on REC Schedule 14 has been identified.

LINKS

- Portal page
- Change Report (Proposal Plan)
- Proposed solution legal drafting

RETAIL ENERGY CODE

SOLUTION OVERVIEW

The proposed solution for R0347 is to update Schedule 14 – Metering Operations to contain the MOA obligation to, upon receipt of the IF-045, agree with the Electricity Supplier on the approach to resolve an Import/Export MPAN meter type misalignment issue.

The proposed BSC end-to-end solution will make amendments to BSCP706 - Supplier Meter Registration Service for MHHS Metering Systems V4.0, data specification updates, and reflect the approach taken for other IF-045 Scenario Variants, and therefore update BSCP709 – Supplier Requirements for MHHS Metering Systems. Based on this approach, the REC solution is to include the same requirements within Schedule 14 reference, similar to that for the Market Segment Scenario Variant captured in paragraph 26.6.

The proposed legal text for Party impact assessment is available here.

IMPLEMENTATION

The proposed implementation for BSC CP1652 is 24 June 2027 and for REC Change Proposal R0347 is 25 June 2027, with a proposed implementation approach of big bang.

QUESTIONS

No.	QUESTION
1	Do you have any comments on the proposed solution?
2	Do you agree the proposed solution addresses the problem statement? If not, please provide details.
3	Are there any alternate solutions that would better meet the needs of the problem statement?
4	Do you have any comments on the proposed legal text?
5	Do you agree with the Code Manager's decision not to introduce routine Performance Assurance monitoring/reporting for this Issue, noting that the matter may still be considered for assurance activity where risks, trends, or evidence emerge that indicate further investigation or monitoring is warranted?
6	Do you agree that 3 Working Days is an appropriate and achievable timescale for the MOA to send the MTD update to SMRS? Please provide your reasons.
7	What lead time would be required for your business to implement the proposed solution? Is the proposed implementation date of 25 June 2027 feasible for your business? Please provide justification.
8	Are you aware of any constraints on when this change can be delivered?
9	Do you foresee any risks to your migration plans to be delivered as part of MHHS implementation caused by the delivery of this change?
10	Would implementing the proposed solution incur any costs to your business? If so, please provide details breaking down design/build/test costs and on-going costs. If preferable, please indicate which of the below ranges your costs may fall: A) Nil;

	B) Up to £9,999; C) Between £10,000 and £49,999; D) Between £50,000 and £99,999; or E) more than £100,000.
11	Do you identify any benefits to your business or the energy market through the implementation of the proposed solution?
12	Taking into consideration both the costs and the benefits seen, do you consider this change should be made?
13	Do you have any other comments relating to this Change Proposal?