QUESTION	RESPONDENT DETAILS	RESPONSE	CODE MANAGER COMMENTS
Do you agree with the Proposed Solution? If not, please explain why.	**Utilita Energy Limited** Energy Supplier	Yes, we agree the proposed solution will help, but it doesn't go far enough to resolve all our concerns with SDEP escalation processes in time for REC v3.0. The following points should also be considered. - SDEP reporting needs to be available to best manage the REC v3.0 changes to the escalation process. - Clearer SDEP guidance needs to be available to best manage REC v3.0 changes such as - How and who can contact the Code Managers to escalate issues? - What do Contract Managers need to do on SDEP to best prepare for this implementation? We can not see how this process has been enhanced to best manage REC v3.0 changes other than removing second-level escalations! We believe that this change proposal had the potential to prepare the industry for the REC v3.0 escalations process, but instead, only minor changes have been introduced.	The scope of R0045 is only to reflect the Data Specification changes to SDEP being implemented at CSS Go-Live Date, to ensure the SDEP messages are accurately reflected within the Data Specification. This CP itself is not proposing any changes to SDEP processes or the system. The changes to the SDEP have been progressed directly with the SDEP Provider as part of the Switching Programme activity. The Code Manager acknowledges there are wider questions being raised by REC Parties, about the SDEP changes being introduced to reflect the new CFSB Schedule. These are being addressed as part of the REC v3.0 Release communication and engagement activity. All questions and comments raised in response to the R0045 consultation will be reviewed as part of the REC V3.0 readiness activity, and where appropriate will feed in to the SDEP Review that is currently in progress. It should be noted that further detail may be required from Parties to support review and progression as part of the SDEP Review.
	Scottish Power	Yes	

	Energy Supplier		
Do you agree with the proposed implementation date and implementation techniques? If not, please explain why.	**Utilita Energy Limited** Energy Supplier	Yes	
	Scottish Power Energy Supplier	Yes	
Do you agree with the Code Manager's assessment of the Change Path, that this Change Proposal should be subject to Self-Governance approval?	**Utilita Energy Limited** Energy Supplier	Yes	
	Scottish Power Energy Supplier	Yes	
Do you have any other comments relating to this Change Proposal? approval by the Change Panel?	**Utilita Energy Limited** Energy Supplier	If the API change proposal (R0009) keeps getting delayed and SDEP reporting isn't currently usable at this point, it is unclear how the Code Manager expects REC v.3.0 to be resourced correctly without the appropriate tools. We are also concerned these missing details will impact the Code Manager's escalation process as it is unclear how secure communication will be sent and how this whole process will be managed or tracked within the agreed timeframes.	The scope of R0045 is limited to the SDEP messages in the REC Data Specification and does not define the escalation process or how this is managed in SDEP. The Preliminary Change Report for R0009 is due to be published on the 15 August 2022 and will set out a proposed implementation date.
	Scottish Power Energy Supplier	The SDEP user guide – I have reviewed this in line with this change and identified a number of issues. I have added comments to the guide and attached this separately. The guide still includes incorrect references to 'Second Escalation'. It would have been helpful if a tracked change version was provided. There is no clear information on the changes that will happen to the SDEP system itself, can this be provided w/c 21/06/22 so users can be fully briefed on the changes before go-live.	The Code Manager acknowledges the feedback on the User Guide, this will be reviewed as part of the REC V3.0 readiness activity and updates to the User Guide made by the SDEP Service Providers as appropriate. There are wider questions being raised by REC Parties, about the SDEP changes being introduced to reflect the new CFSB Schedule rather than limited to the scope

		Escalation to Code Manager process – no clear defined process has been provided. During the webinar session it was suggested that this will not be done in bulk or via SDEP but instead individual forms emailed to the helpdesk and/or REC PAB. This is against the principal of why SDEP was introduced in the first place – providing secure communications and is not efficient. There is no clear defined time line for the code manager once they receive an escalation to take action or respond to the initiating party. What analysis has the code manager carried out to date to ensure they are fully prepared for go-live? E.g. reviewing all final stage escalations which have not been responded to. These escalations should work both ways not only the supplier that has failed to respond but also the supplier who has not followed the correct escalation process when initiating. It would be helpful if reporting is provided to industry on performance in line with the other PAB reporting.	of R0045. These are being addressed as part of the REC v3.0 Release communication and engagement activity.