This document is classified as **WHITE**.
Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

NON-CONFIDENTIAL CONSOLIDATED CONSULTATION RESPONSES

R0201 – CREATION OF TOOL TO MANUALLY CORRECT ERDS / CSS DISCREPANCIES

On 20 August 2025 the Code Manager issued a consultation to industry to seek feedback on the Change Report (For Consultation) for R0201.

The purpose of this document is to consolidate the responses and for the Code Manager to answer any questions raised within those responses.

DOCUMENT VERSION	V1.0
RESPONSE TYPE	Non-Confidential
DATE ISSUED	30/09/2025
RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE	Change Panel
LEAD ANALYST	Holly Law

DATE CONSULTATION ISSUED	20/08/2025
RESPONSE DEADLINE	10/09/2025

Question 1:	Do you agree with the proposed solution? If not, please explain why?	
RESPONDENT DETAILS	**RESPONSE**	**CODE MANAGER COMMENTS**
Northern Powergrid (Distribution Network Operator)	Yes	Noted. Thank you.
National Grid Electricity Distribution (Distribution Network Operator)	Yes	Noted. Thank you.
SP Energy Networks (Distribution Network Operator)	Yes	Noted. Thank you.
UK Power Networks (Distribution Network Operator)	Yes	Noted. Thank you.
St Clements Services (MPRS Provider)	Yes	Noted. Thank you.

Question 2:	Do you agree with the Code Manager's assessment of the costs and benefits for this change?	
RESPONDENT DETAILS	**RESPONSE**	**CODE MANAGER COMMENTS**
Northern Powergrid (Distribution Network Operator)	Yes	Noted. Thank you.
National Grid Electricity Distribution (Distribution Network Operator)	N/A	N/A
SP Energy Networks (Distribution Network Operator)	We believe that the costs are reasonable as there are a number of parties affected by this change.	Noted. Thank you.
UK Power Networks (Distribution Network Operator)	N/A	N/A
St Clements Services (MPRS Provider)	N/A	N/A

Question 3:	**Do you agree with the Code Manager's recommendation that this Change Proposal should be approved for implementation on 27 March 2026? If not, please explain why?**	
RESPONDENT DETAILS	**RESPONSE**	**CODE MANAGER COMMENTS**
Northern Powergrid (Distribution Network Operator)	We agree with this change, but consideration needs to be given for the priority of releases in March 2026. Development of MPRS and the testing requirement for LDSOs would need to be considered for the proposed timeline alongside other industry changes in progress. Please refer to the SCS response for MPRS development timescales.	Noted, thank you. Please see the Code Manager response to St Clements Services on the MPRS development timescales.
National Grid Electricity Distribution (Distribution Network Operator)	The timing of this functionality's deployment needs careful thought. In the months following M11, significant industry support will be required, which will take precedence over new development work. Since the same resources would be used for both this CP solution within MPRS and wider industry support, this may delay readiness for a March 2026 deployment. On its own, there may be sufficient capacity to deliver the solution by March 2026. However, if additional proposed changes must also be developed in parallel, the timeline will not be achievable. The industry will need to prioritise CPs, with some inevitably deferred to later releases.	Noted, thank you. Please see the Code Manager response to St Clements Services on the MPRS development timescales.
SP Energy Networks (Distribution Network Operator)	We have been advised by our Service Provider that there is risk in the proposal to develop this change for implementation of 27th March 2026. This is based on the resource required to support the early stages of MHHS and the number of other proposals suggested with the same implementation date. We advise that a pragmatic approach is required	Noted, thank you. Please see the Code Manager response to St Clements Services on the MPRS development timescales.

	in the delivery date of this proposal in conjunction with other changes to MPRS.	
UK Power Networks (Distribution Network Operator)	The timing of the implementation will need to be reviewed based on M11 go live and the work that may be required in terms of development which may impact the 27th March 2026 date. We would be happy for the implementation date to remain as March 2026, however there will need to be some thought given to the potential of other changes impacting this.	Noted, thank you. Please see the Code Manager response to St Clements Services on the MPRS development timescales.
St Clements Services (MPRS Provider)	Consideration must be given to when this functionality is deployed. In the months after M11 it is reasonable to expect a high level of support from the industry will be required which will take priority over any new development work. This will be using the same resource as would be involved in developing this CP solution within MPRS and may prevent this functionality being developed ready for March 2026 deployment. In isolation there may be the capacity to develop this solution by the March 2026 proposed implementation date. However, this change will not be able to be developed within the proposed timescales if other proposed changes are required to be developed at the same time. Industry will need to prioritise the CPs with some having to be developed later.	Thank you for your response. When considering new future change, existing Change Proposals are taken into consideration during prioritisation and when developing the Change Proposal Plan. If an urgent change or Issue is raised, consideration is given on the impact of development work already agreed/ planned, as per the BAU process. If the implementation of R0201 is put at risk this would need to be raised and addressed through the existing processes and if required an amendment to the R201 implementation taken for approval.

Question 4:	**4.CSS and ERDS discrepancies can arise where the secured active or cancellation notification is not issued by CSS (missing messages), or the secured active notification is not accepted by the ERDS. Can you provide an explanation/ examples of why the secure active or cancelation is not issued (CSS) or why the notification is not accepted (ERDS). Please provide the end to end process and what causes the failure where possible.**	
RESPONDENT DETAILS	**RESPONSE**	**CODE MANAGER COMMENTS**
Northern Powergrid (Distribution Network Operator)	CSS message processing is completed within MPRS based on validation and sequential processing – missing messages can cause the next switching to fail and service tickets to be raised between us as the LDSO and DCC. Manual activity is required to enter the missing details within the system by superuser screens after the missing information is confirmed. On occasion contact with the supplier is also required to gain agent data or resolve a query they have raised for missing data. Please see SCS response for the technical validation and steps within the MPRS product.	Noted, thank you.
National Grid Electricity Distribution (Distribution Network Operator)	If CSS does not send a SecuredActive/Cancel message, the registration in MPRS remains at Pending. An error is only detected if the MPAN switches again, at which point MPRS rejects the Pending and SecuredActive messages from CSS with the error: “20665 A previous registration (with Id <registrationId>) is still Pending”. At this stage:	Noted, thank you.

	• The LDSO must update the previous registration to the correct status, which requires manual contact with DCC to confirm whether it should be Cancelled or SecuredActive. • Once confirmed, the LDSO uses the R0201 functionality to insert the rejected registration, ensuring MPRS is realigned with CSS. • MPRS then issues D0204/D0209 or IF-001/IF-037 messages to update suppliers after the amendments are committed.	
SP Energy Networks (Distribution Network Operator)	If a SecuredActive/Cancel message has not been received from CSS, the registration remains at Pending in MPRS. An error is not detected until the MPAN switches again, MPRS will Reject the Pending and SecuredActive messages from CSS with: “20665 A previous registration (with Id <registrationId>) is still Pending”. The LDSO must then update the previous registration with the correct status (requiring manual contact with DCC to determine the correct status (Cancelled or SecuredActive). Then the LDSO will use the R0201 functionality to insert the Rejected Registration to re-align MPRS with CSS. MPRS will issue D0204/D0209 or IF-001/IF-037 messages to update the Suppliers once the amendments are committed.	Noted, thank you.
UK Power Networks (Distribution Network Operator)	If a SecuredActive/Cancel message has not been received from CSS, the registration remains at Pending in MPRS. An error is not detected until the MPAN switches again, MPRS will Reject the Pending and SecuredActive messages from CSS with: “20665 A previous registration (with Id <registrationId>) is still Pending”. The LDSO must then update the previous registration with the correct status (requiring manual contact with DCC to determine the correct status (Cancelled or SecuredActive). Then the LDSO will use the R0201 functionality to insert the Rejected Registration to re-align MPRS	Noted, thank you.

	with CSS. MPRS will issue D0204/D0209 or IF-001/IF-037 messages to update the Suppliers once the amendments are committed.	
St Clements Services (MPRS Provider)	If a SecuredActive/Cancel message has not been received from CSS, the registration remains at Pending in MPRS. An error is not detected until the MPAN switches again, MPRS will Reject the Pending and SecuredActive messages from CSS with: “20665 A previous registration (with Id <registrationId>) is still Pending”. The LDSO must then update the previous registration with the correct status (requiring manual contact with DCC to determine the correct status (Cancelled or SecuredActive). Then the LDSO will use the R0201 functionality to insert the Rejected Registration to re-align MPRS with CSS. MPRS will issue D0204/D0209 or IF-001/IF-037 messages to update the Suppliers once the amendments are committed.	Noted, thank you.

Question 5:	**Please provide any other comments on this Change Proposal.**	
RESPONDENT DETAILS	**RESPONSE**	**CODE MANAGER COMMENTS**
Northern Powergrid (Distribution Network Operator)	Please see SCS response for the proposal for MPRS development work.	Noted, thank you.
National Grid Electricity Distribution (Distribution Network Operator)	Planned Solution for Failed Forward and Reverse Migrations St Clements plan to implement a solution to manage both forward and reverse migration failures, including: a. Ability to update migration status to match the corrected SSD. b. Triggering Service De-appointment CSUPP. c. Triggering an IF-051 when aborting a reverse migration, including: • IF-001 to re-appoint the MHHS Supplier. d. Triggering an EES Legacy Update and IF-003 [ReverseMigNotification] when aborting a forward CoS migration, including: • D0204 to re-appoint the MHHS Supplier.	This has been fed into the BSC to confirm as part of their equivalent modification CP1606.
SP Energy Networks (Distribution Network Operator)	No Further comments.	N/A
UK Power Networks (Distribution Network Operator)	N/A	N/A
St Clements Services (MPRS Provider)	We are intending on implementing a solution for Failed Forward and Reverse Migrations: a. Ability to change the Migration status to align with the corrected SSD. b. Trigger Service De-appointment CSUPP c. Trigger an IF-051 if aborting a Reverse Migration	This has been fed into the BSC to confirm as part of their equivalent modification.

	i. IF-001 to re-appoint MHHS Supplier d. Trigger EES Legacy Update and IF-003 [ReverseMigNotification] if aborting Forward CoS Migration i. D0204 to re-appoint MHHS Supplier	