RETAIL ENERGY CODE

This document is classified as **WHITE**.
Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

01	Proposal Plan
02	**Impact Assessment**
03	Consultation
04	Final Recommendation

CHANGE REPORT

R0238 PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE REPORTING CATALOGUE (PARC) - ANNUAL REVIEW

DOCUMENT VERSION	V1.0
PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION DATE	TBD
IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	BIG BANG

RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE	PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE BOARD	**CHANGE PATH**	SELF-GOVERNANCE
PRIORITY STATUS	LOW	**URGENCY**	STANDARD
IMPACTED STAKEHOLDERS	Code Manager, Distribution Network Operators (DNOs), Energy Suppliers, and Meter Asset Providers		

LEAD ANALYST (SOLUTION LEAD)	TECHNICAL LEAD	ASSURANCE LEAD
LUKE COYLE	SOPHIA LOGIE	ANDREW WAGHORN
Click to send an email	Click to send an email	Click to send an email

OVERVIEW

Following their annual review, the REC Performance Assurance Board (PAB) have proposed updates to the Performance Assurance Report Catalogue (PARC). This change seeks to implement those updates, thereby introducing the newest version of the PARC.

RETAIL ENERGY CODE

CONTENTS

1 Summary 3
2 Problem Statement 3
3 Impacts 3
4 Cross-Code Impacts 3
5 Proposal plan 4
6 Priority Status and Urgency 4
7 Summary of Analysis Undertaken 5
8 Draft Legal Text 5
9 Risks, Assumptions, Issues and Dependencies 6
10 Appendix 8
11 Glossary 8

BACKGROUND

1 SUMMARY

REC Parties and Service Providers must undergo Performance Assurance as part of their obligations under the REC, as outlined in Schedule 6 – Performance Assurance. Performance Assurance is conducted in a number of ways, one of which is the completion of Performance Reports. These Performance Reports are outlined in the Category two document Performance Assurance Report Catalogue (PARC).

The REC PAB are responsible for proposing updates to the PARC as part of their annual review. This change seeks to update the PARC at PAB's recommendation to reflect changes they are suggesting.

2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

The REC PAB has conducted their 2024 review of the PARC and proposed changes to update to version 5.1.

3 IMPACTS

RECCO / REC CODE MANAGER
Code Manager

REC PARTIES AND SERVICE USERS
Distribution Network Operators (DNOs)
Energy Suppliers
Meter Asset Providers

REC DOCUMENTS / PRODUCTS
Performance Assurance Report Catalogue (PARC)

This change is not expected to have any impacts on Market Half-Hourly Settlement.

4 CROSS-CODE IMPACTS

No cross-code impacts have been identified.

5 PROPOSAL PLAN

Milestone	Activity	From	To
Definition		**20 Jan-25**	**21 Mar-25**
	Solution Development	January	January
	Party Impact Assessment	February	March
Change Proposal		**22 Mar-25**	**26-May-25**
	Business Case Assessment	March	March
	Consultation	March	April
	Final Determination	April	May
Voting		**27 May-25**	**27 May-25**
	Appeal Window	28/05/2025	11/06/2025

6 PRIORITY STATUS AND URGENCY

PRIORITISATION MATRIX

Category	Significance / Resource impacts / Urgency	Further selection / breakdown	Score (0-3)	Weighting	Weighted Score
Impacts	Consumer Impact	Indirect	1	27	27
	Retail Markets Impact	Retail Risk(s)	1	17	17
	RECCo / REC Party / REC Service Provider Impact	Operational Effectiveness and Efficiencies	0	7	0
		Legal and Regulatory Compliance	1	14	14
Resource	Complexity / Effort / Duration		1	9	9
Strategic Alignment	Contribution to/ Alignment with the objectives of the REC		0	17	0
	Contribution to/ Alignment with RECCo's Forward Work Plan		0		0
Urgency *(The need to progress a change to meet a targeted date)*		Other Planned Activity	0	10	0
				Overall Priority Score (rounded)	67

Priority Status	LOW
Change Proposal Type	STANDARD

RETAIL ENERGY CODE

SOLUTION

7 SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS UNDERTAKEN

The REC PAB have conducted their annual review of the Risk Register and the PARC. Following this review, they have compiled a new version of the PARC that reflects the updated requirements for current performance assurance for REC Parties and Service Providers.

8 DRAFT LEGAL TEXT

The legal text changes to deliver the proposed solution to R0238 can be found here.

The table below provides a summary of all the proposed changes to the PARC:

SECTION	AMENDMENT	REASON
1340 - Residential	Removed.	1340 file format was superseded by 1341 at the start of the current Energy Theft year, as the last PARC change involved Parties still reporting the prior year the old files were retained for reference but are no longer valid. Note that the 1341 will be replaced by 1342 following R0173 approval.
1340 - Commercial	Removed.	1340 file format was superseded by 1341 at the start of the current Energy Theft year, as the last PARC change involved Parties still reporting the prior year the old files were retained for reference but are no longer valid. Note that the 1341 will be replaced by 1342 following R0173 approval.
Party Reports	Removal of references to MPAN.	Replaced by MPxN to be neutral about which fuel it is referring to.
Party Reports	Removal of references to MRA MAP14.	Replaced by REC Schedule 11: Prepayment Arrangements – the MRA no longer exists.
1341 - Residential	The trailing whitespaces in the columns 'Supplier Investigation ID No' and 'Assessed End Date for Theft' removed	Housekeeping.
1341- Commercial	The trailing whitespaces in the columns 'Supplier	Housekeeping.

	Investigation ID No' and 'Assessed End Date for Theft' removed.	
1810	Removal of references to MPAN.	Replaced by MPxN to be neutral about which fuel it is referring to.
Other Data Collection	Mapped to a different Retail Risk.	Retail Risk Register itself was updated through the category 3 process (implemented 31/10/24 - C3-0097).
30-39	Included SLA – 'must be submitted within 5WD following reporting month end.'	Standardise timings for report submission.
30-39	The Section Number for a Code Manager must always be an integer, this is not enforced for other party types.	Standardise format for Code Manager.
CSS Data Items	Mapped to a different Retail Risk.	Retail Risk Register itself was updated through the category 3 process (implemented 31/10/24 - C3-0097).
ElectraLink Data Items	Mapped to a different Retail Risk.	Retail Risk Register itself was updated through the category 3 process (implemented 31/10/24 - C3-0097).
SDES Data Items	Mapped to a different Retail Risk.	Retail Risk Register itself was updated through the category 3 process (implemented 31/10/24 - C3-0097).
Xoserve Data Items	Mapped to a different Retail Risk.	Retail Risk Register itself was updated through the category 3 process (implemented 31/10/24 - C3-0097).
Xoserve Data Items	Removal of references to MRA.	Replaced by REC – the MRA no longer exists.

9 RISKS, ASSUMPTIONS, ISSUES AND DEPENDENCIES

9.1 RISKS AND ISSUES

There are no risks or issues identified with this change.

9.2 ASSUMPTIONS AND DEPENDENCIES

There are no assumptions identified with this change.

NO.	DEPENDENCY	NOTES	STATUS
R0238.D001	Potential updates to legal text required if R0173 is approved.	The draft version of the legal text does not include the changes proposed in R0173. The expected Authority decision date for R0173 is 03 March 2025 – assuming approval is received by this date, the changes will need to be incorporated into the R0238 legal text for consultation.	Open

10 APPENDIX

CHANGE PROPOSAL	Link
LEGAL DRAFTING	Link

11 GLOSSARY

TERM	DEFINITION
Performance Assurance	means the activities undertaken by the Code Manager and the REC PAB in accordance with the Performance Assurance Schedule.
Performance Reports	means the reports on performance by REC Service Users and REC Service Providers, as further described in the Performance Assurance Schedule and REC Technical Specification.
REC Performance Assurance Board (PAB)	means the performance assurance board for this Code, as from time to time established under Clause 15 of the main body of this Code (which is a Sub-Committee).